Selective Synthesis of the para-Quinone **Region of Geldanamycin**

Merritt B. Andrus,* Erik J. Hicken, Erik L. Meredith, Bryon L. Simmons, and John F. Cannon

Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, Brigham Young University, C100 BNSN, Provo, Utah 84602-5700

mbandrus@chem.byu.edu

Received July 25, 2003

MeC OН


CH₃

MeC

MeC

HaC

H₃C





The total synthesis of the antitumor antibiotic geldanamycin (GA) was recently reported.¹ Key steps included the development of two asymmetric glycolate aldol reactions and a dealkylative quinone-forming step with nitric acid. This compound has generated considerable interest due to its ability to bind heat shock protein 90 (Hsp90) and lower cellular levels of various oncogenic kinases.² Geldanamycin is the most potent member of the ansamycin family, which includes both the herbimycins and macbecins (Scheme 1). 17-Allylamino-GA, a semisynthetic analogue, is currently in clinical trials.³

Geldanamycin presents a unique challenge in that it possesses a trisubstituted quinone with a methoxyl group at C17. The trimethoxylactam precursor employed in the synthesis of GA provided some unexpected results. Unlike the dimethoxy precursors employed for the synthesis of the

(2) (a) Whitesell, L.; Mimnaugh, E. G.; De Costa, B.; Myers, C. E.; Neckers, L. M. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 1994, 91, 8324. (b) Lawrence, D. S.; Niu, J. Pharmacol. Ther. 1998, 77, 81. (c) Stebbins, C. E.; Russo, A. A.; Schneider, C.; Rosen, N.; Hartl, F. U.; Pavletich, N. P. Cell, 1997, 89, 239. (d) Roe, S. M.; Prodromou, C.; O'Brien, R.; Ladbury, J. E.; Piper, P. W.; Pearl, L. H. J. Med. Chem. 1999, 42, 260.


other ansamycins,⁴ the trimethoxylactam precursor for GA 1 gave either an unusual azaquinone product or decomposition upon treatment with the standard oxidants.⁵ Only with nitric acid was GA generated as a minor component along with the nonnatural ortho-quinone product 2 in a 1:10 ratio (Scheme 2). The low yield and poor selectivity of the dealkylative approach prompted the investigation of a new route to the desired methoxy-p-quinone portion of GA using





ORGANIC

⁽¹⁾ Andrus, M. B.; Meredith, E. L.; Simmons, B. L.; Soma Sekhar, B. B. V.; Hicken, E. J. Org. Lett. 2002, 4, 3549.



phenol and dihydroquinone intermediates. The new approach establishes, for the first time, a selective route to the GA quinone, opening up a new route to GA and analogues of this important template. In addition, the structure of the methoxyquinone product is unambiguously established using NMR, UV, and X-ray analysis with comparison to GA, *ortho*-quino GA **2**, and a simple model compound.

As a model for the GA synthesis, trimethoxy benzamide **3** was produced and explored for dealkylative quinone formation (Scheme 3). An early GA-model study reported by Schill and co-workers employed a trimethoxy substrate that was dealkylated to the hydroxyquinone.⁶ The α , β -unsaturated precursor to **3** was made from the corresponding benzaldehyde, which was described in a previous report.⁷ Treatment with the standard oxidants, ceric ammonium nitrate (CAN)⁸ or silver oxide, rapidly produced quinone product in essentially quanitative isolated yields. CoF₂ and MnO₂ gave lower yields of product, 50 and 25%, respectively.⁹ Hypervalent iodine-based oxidants failed to give product in this case.¹⁰ Unlike lactam **1** where conformational


(4) Herbimycin A: (a) Nakata, M.; Osumi, T.; Ueno, A.; Kimura, T. Tamai, T.; Tatsuta, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1991**, *32*, 6015. Macbecin I: (b) Baker, R.; Castro, J. L. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 **1990**, 47. (c) Evans, D. A.; Miller, S. J.; Ennis, M. D. J. Org. Chem. **1993**, *58*, 471. (d) Panek, J. S.; Xu, F.; Rondon, A. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1998**, *120*, 4113.

(5) Trimethoxy substrates normally give *p*-quinone products: (a) Cameron, D. W.; Feutrill, G. I.; Patti, A. F.; Perlmutter, P.; Sefton, M. A. Aust. J. Chem. 1982, 35, 1501. (b) Witiak, D. T.; Loper, J. T.; Ananthan, S.; Almerico, A. M.; Verhoef, V. L.; Filppi, J. A. J. Med. Chem. 1989, 32, 1636. (c) Kozuka, T.; Bull. Chem. Soc. Jpn. 1982, 55, 2415. (d) Cheng, A. C.; Castagnoli, N. J. Med. Chem. 1984, 27, 513. (e) Michael, J. P.; Cirillo, P. F.; Denner, L.; Hosken, G. D.; Howard, A. S.; Tinkler, O. S. Tetrahedron 1990, 46, 7923. (f) Luly, J. R.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 2745. (g) Kitahara, Y.; Nakahara, S.; Shimizu, M.; Yonezawa, T.; Kubo, A. Heterocycles 1993, 36, 1909.

(6) Schill, G.; Merkel, C.; Zürcher, C. *Liebigs Ann. Chem.* **1977**, 288. Trimethoxyamide was converted to the hydroxyquinone with boron tribromide and alkylated with diazomethane. These conditions led only to decomposition with **1**, and other precursors led to GA.

(7) Andrus, M. B.; Meredith, E. L.; Soma Sekhar, B. B. V. Org. Lett. 2001, 3, 259.

(10) Tohma, H.; Morioka, H.; Harayama, Y.; Hashizume, M.; Kita, Y. Tetrahedron Lett. 2001, 42, 6899.



effects appear to govern the reaction outcome, no trace of aza-quinone was detected with 3. In this case, one-electron removal gives radical cation 4 where the *p*-methoxyls stabilize the charge through lone-pair donation. Addition of water, loss of methanol, a proton, and an additional electron gives intermediate 5.11 Water can then attack either ortho or para to the carbonyl prior to quinone formation. In contrast to previous reports of 1,2,4-trimethoxybenzenes where *para*quinone products were obtained,⁵ quinone $\mathbf{6}$ was also shown to be the unexpected ortho-quinone. Single-crystal X-ray analysis unambiguously identified the structure as shown.¹² UV data of 6 was also obtained and compared to GA and ortho-quino-GA 2. The λ_{max} at 300 nm for the $\pi - \pi^*$ (CHCl₃, K-band) of 6 is very close to the energy of this transition for 2 (λ_{max} 303 nm).¹³ The corresponding value for GA is much higher at 311 nm. Attack at the ortho position may be due to steric factors or destabilization of the allylic cation at the para position of 5. The amide may force the methyl ether at this position to adopt a conformation that does not promote lone-pair donation in this case.

The shortcomings of the dealkylation route prompted the investigation of the phenol and dihydroquinone strategies. An unsaturated amide with additional functionality was considered to be an improved model for GA. The route to the *p*-di-MOM-protected hydroquinone began with methoxyhydroquinone **8** (Scheme 4). Protection, formylation,¹⁴ and nitration gave **10a** (R = MOM) in high overall yield.

⁽³⁾ GA derivatives: (a) Rinehart, K. L.; McMillan, M. W.; Witty, T. R.; Tipton, C. D.; Shield, L. S. Li, L. H.; Reusser, F. *Bioorg. Chem.* **1977**, 6, 353. (b) Schnur, R. C.; Corman, M. L *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, *59*, 2581. (c) Schnur, R. C.; Corman, M. L.; Gallaschun, R. J.; Cooper, B. A.; Dee, M. F.; Doty, J. L.; Muzzi, M. L.; Moyer, J. D.; DiOrio, C. I.; Barbacci, E. G.; Miller, P. E.; O'Brien, A. T.; Morin, M. J.; Foster, B. A.; Pollack, V. A.; Savage, D. M.; Sloan, D. E.; Pustilnik, L. R.; Moyer, M. P. *J. Med. Chem.* **1995**, *38*, 3806.

⁽⁸⁾ Krohn, K.; Frese, P.; Flörke, U. Chem. Eur. J. 2000, 6, 3887.


⁽⁹⁾ Tomatsu, A.; Takemura, S.; Hashimoto, K.; Nakata, M. Synlett **1999**, 1474.

⁽¹¹⁾ For a recent mechanistic investigation of dealkylative quinone formation, see: Rathore, R.; Bosch, E.; Kochi, J. K. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 2 **1994**, 1157.

⁽¹²⁾ X-ray data: orthorhombic space group P212121, a = 7.359, b = 8.645, c = 21.12 Å, independent data R1 = 0.038. The Supporting Information contains full details.

⁽¹³⁾ For a discussion of UV-structure correlation, see: *Spectrometric Identification of Organic Compounds*, 5th ed.; Silverstein, R. M., Bassler, G. C., Morrill, T. C., Eds.; John Wiley & Sons: New York, 1991; Chapter 7.

⁽¹⁴⁾ Gilman, H.; Thirtle, J. R. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1944, 66, 858.




Under these conditions, the extra protection step was needed because the C3-MOM group was removed during the nitration step. For the phenol approach, 2,4-dimethoxybenzaldehyde 11 underwent Baeyer-Villiger oxidation,¹⁵ protection, formylation, and nitration with ammonium nitrate in trifluoroacetic anhydride¹⁶ to give **10b** (R = Me). Surprisingly, use of ammonium nitrate with 9 as with nitric acid, also led to MOM removal. Alternative conditions for nitration at this point gave multiple products or lower yields.¹⁷ These intermediates were separately converted to the benzyl bromides 13 using sodium borohydride and MsCl in the presence of lithium bromide and triethylamine according to Kajiwara's conditions.¹⁸ Use of standard conditions, PBr₃ and pyridine or Ph₃P with carbon tetrabromide, led to MOM removal or low yield. Evans asymmetric alkylation with S-oxazolidinone 14 proceeded with high yield and selectivity.¹⁹ Reduction with lithium borohydride provided the alcohols 15 (R = MOM, Me). Mitsunobu homologation, with acetone cyanohydrin under the conditions of Ito,²⁰ gave the intermediate cyano compounds. Reduction to the aldehydes, with DIBAL followed by addition of water, gave the corresponding aldehydes. Asymmetric allylborane addition following the conditions of Brown²¹ gave homoallylic alcohols 16. Protection as the TBS ether, reduction of the arylnitro

(15) Matsumoto, M.; Kobayashi, H.; Hotta, Y. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 4740.

(19) Evans, D. A.; Ennis, M. D.; Mathre, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1982, 104, 1737.

group to the aniline, and treatment with tigloyl chloride²² gave the unsaturated benzamides 17 (R = MOM, Me).

Dimethoxy 17 (R = Me) was treated with TMSCl and sodium iodide to give the phenol 18 (Table 1).²³ The



^{*a*} Isolated yield following silica gel chromatography. ^{*b*} Multiple products were obtained without formation of **19**.

deprotection was accompanied by TBS ether removal. The standard oxidants in this case,²⁴ CAN and DDQ (2,3-

⁽¹⁶⁾ Crivello, J. V. J. Org. Chem. 1981, 46, 3056.

^{(17) (}a) NO₂⁺BF₄⁻: Dwyer, C. L.; Holzapfel, C. W. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, 54, 7843. (b) Cu(NO₃)₂·(H₂O)_{2.5}: Boger, D. L.; Garbaccio, R. M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, 64, 8350. (c) Nitropyridinium: Olah, G. A.; Narang, S. C.; Olah, J. A.; Pearson, R. L.; Cupas, C. A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1980**, *102*, 3507. (d) La(NO₃)₃, Ouertani, M.; Girard, P.; Kagan, H. B. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1982**, *23*, 4315.

⁽¹⁸⁾ Takatori, K.; Nishihara, M.; Nishiyama, Y.; Kajiwara, M. Tetrahedron 1998, 54, 15861.


^{(20) (}a) Wilk, B. K. Synth. Commun. **1993**, 23, 2481. (b) Tsunoda, T.; Uemoto, K.; Nagino, C.; Kawamura, M.; Kaku, H.; Ito, S. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1999**, 40, 7355.

⁽²¹⁾ Jadhav, P. K.; Bhat, K. S.; Perumal, P. T.; Brown, H. C. J. Org. Chem. 1986, 51, 432.

⁽²²⁾ O'Hare, D.; Green, J. C.; Marder, T.; Collins, S.; Stringer, G.; Kakkar, A. K.; Kaltsoyannis, N.; Kuhn, A.; Lewis, R.; Mehnert, C.; Scott, P.; Kurmoo, M.; Pugh, S. *Organometallics* **1992**, *11*, 48.

⁽²³⁾ Cuevas, C.; Perez, M.; Martin, M. J.; Chicharro, J. L.; Fernandez-Rivas, C.; Flores, M.; Francesch, A.; Gallego, P.; Zarzuelo, M.; de la Calle, F.; Garcia, J.; Polanco, C.; Rodriguez, I.; Manzanares, I. *Org. Lett.* **2000**, 2, 2545.

^{(24) (}a) Majetich, G.; Zhang, Y. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 4979.
(b) Fukuyama, T.; Yang, L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1987, 109, 7881.



dichloro-5,6-dicyanoquinone), gave only a very low yield of the desired product **19** (entries 1 and 2). The product **19** was shown to be the *p*-quinone. Spectral comparisons and NMR data are discussed below. Silver oxide and nitric acid gave multiple products without formation of **19** (entries 3 and 4). Iodosobenzene, as used recently for the synthesis of saframycin and longithorone, ²⁵ was also low yielding, producing **19** in only 15% isolated yield (entry 5). At this point, various catalysts with oxygen as oxidant that have been used with more complex substrates were explored (entries 6-8). Copper(II) chloride failed to give product, while salcomine,^{17b} used for CC-1065, and Co(salen)²⁶ again gave only low yields of **19**. Potassium ferricyanide (entry 9) also gave a low yield.

Treatment of di-MOM **17** (R = MOM) with TMSCl and sodium iodide gave dihydroquinone **20** in 79% yield (Scheme 5). Again, the TBS ether was removed. Looking ahead, silyl ether removal at C11 is needed prior to quinone formation in the route to GA. Use of HF•pyridine could be used to selectively remove the TBS ether and maintain the MOM ethers in this case (82%). TBAF and HF•Et₃N were not effective for this transformation. Gratifyingly, it was found that treatment of 20 with catalytic palladium on carbon (10%), following the conditions of Rapoport,²⁷ with the flask open to air gave quinone 19 in near quantitative yield. The UV spectra showed a λ_{max} at 310 nm consistent with the same $\pi - \pi^*$ band observed for geldanamycin (311 nm). These *p*-quinone UV bands are clearly distinct from the $\pi - \pi^*$ bands seen with the *o*-quinones **2** and **6** (303 and 300 nm, respectively). Additional NMR experiments were also performed to confirm the structure of 19. DEPT and HETCOR were used to assign the ¹³C NMR shifts and ¹H correlations for 19. HMBC was then used to establish the connectivity and rule out alternatives. A key observation in this regard was the through-bond coupling observed for the amide hydrogen to the adjacent carbonyl of the quinone. In the alternative *o*-quinone in this case, the amide hydrogen would be found four and five bonds removed from the ring carbonyls and a correlation would not be observed.

A new route to the *p*-quinone portion of geldanamycin has been developed involving dihydroquinone oxidation using 1,4-di-MOM protection. For the first time, selective access to this unique trisubstituted quinone has been achieved. New routes to this important target can now be undertaken with confidence.

Acknowledgment. We are grateful to The NIH (GM57275) and the BYU Cancer Center for funding, Dr. Bruce Jackson for MS assistance, and Dr. Li Du for NMR assistance. We also thank Ryan J. Spencer for synthetic assistance.

Supporting Information Available: Experimental procedures and characterization, including two-dimensional NMR and X-ray data for **19**. This material is available free of charge via the Internet at http://pubs.acs.org.

OL035400G

⁽²⁵⁾ Myers, A. G.; Kung, D. W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1999, 121, 10828.
(b) Layton, M. E.; Morales, C. A.; Shair, M. D. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2002, 124, 773.

^{(26) (}a) Lipshutz, B. H.; Mollard, P.; Pfeiffer, S. S.; Chrisman, W. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **2002**, 124, 14282. (b) With Cu, see: Matsumoto, M.; Kobayashi, H.; Hotta, Y. Synth. Commun. **1985**, 15, 515.

^{(27) (}a) Luly, J. R.; Rapoport, H. J. Org. Chem. 1984, 49, 1671. For other routes and oxidants involving 1,4-di-MOM substrates: (b) Roush, W. R.; Coffey, D. S.; Madar, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1997, 119, 11331.
(c) Noland, W. E.; Kedrowski, B. L. Org. Lett. 2000, 2, 2109.